Remarks

Currently pending are claims 1, 4 and 7-16.

Claims 2, 3, 5 and 6 have been canceled without prejudice.

Claim 1 has been amended to further define components (A) and (B) by incorporation of the limitations of claims 3 and 6. No new matter has been added.

In view of the above amendments and following remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration by the Examiner, and advancement of the application to allowance.

35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner rejected claims 1-17 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jollenbeck et al. (US 5,009,669). Applicants traverse this rejection for the following reasons.

Applicants incorporate herein by reference the arguments presented in the previously filed Response to Office Action, filed October 22, 2009 as to why claim 1 is not rendered *prima facie* obvious by Jollenbeck et al.

Furthermore, Applicants have amended claim 1 to now be commensurate in scope with the experimental data provided in the present application and the previously submitted Declaration of Ryu which show criticality and unexpected results for the two claimed dispersants (A) and (B) at certain weight ratios and which can be used with UV-absorbers in static dyeing. Jollenbeck et al. neither teach nor suggest such results.

Accordingly, claim 1 and all claims depending on claim 1 are not rendered obvious by Jollenbeck et al. and Applicants respectfully request the rejection of claim 1, and all claims depending on claim 1, be withdrawn.

PATENT Attorney Docket # 4-22995

Should any fee be due in connection with the filing of this document, the Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to deduct said fee from Huntsman Corporation Deposit Account No. 08-3442.

Respectfully Submitted,

Huntsman Corporation 10003 Woodloch Forest Drive The Woodlands, Texas 77380 (281) 719-4553 Robert Holthus Reg. No. 50,347

Attorney for Applicants

Date: 7/21/10